

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,228	06/29/2005	Keiji Hasumi	05360/HG	4548	
	7590 11/28/200 OLTZ GOODMAN &		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue			KOSAR, AARON J		
16TH Floor NEW YORK. 1	NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/541,228	HASUMI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Aaron J. Kosar	1651		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address		
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 tx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but yeceived by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 Sentember 2007			
-		This action is non-final.			
,	Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the merits i	s	
	closed in accordance with the practice u	•			
Dispositio	n of Claims				
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		×		
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□ (Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
Applicatio	n Papers				
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	· ·		(d).	
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doce Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Ree the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date. <i>July 17, 2007</i> . Informal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and arguments filed September 26, 2007 in response to the non-final rejection, are acknowledged. Applicant's has amended the claims by introducing new . claims 5-14.

The amended claims introduce a number of species different from those presented in the method of originally recited claim 4 and which were not originally presented in the claims. For the reasons which are presented below, and for the sake of compact prosecution, an Election of Species is required to facilitate further examination of the claims on their merits.

Claims 1-14 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The claimed species are as follows:

- a) an enzyme species selected from bacillolysin MA or trypsin;
- b) a support species selected from porous silica bead support, a cellulose-based support, an agarose-based support, a cross-linked dextran-based support and a crosslinked polyacrylamide-based support;
- c) a molecule species which binds the substrate selected from *lysine*, *anti-insulin* antibody, and *hirudine*; and
- d) a substrate species selected from plasminogen, preproinsulin, and prothrombin

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a <u>single</u> species from each of (a)-(d) above (e.g. the affinity trap comprising bacillolysin MA-hirudine-agarose and prothrombin) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

Art Unit: 1651

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The species of enzyme, bacillolysin MA, corresponds to claims 3, 4, 7 and 10. The species of enzyme, trypsin, corresponds to claim 11. Each species of a support corresponds to claims 6. Furthermore, the support species agarose corresponds to claims 12 and 13. The species lysine corresponds to claims 3, 4, 8, 9,13, and 14. The species hirudine and anti-insulin antibody correspond to claims 10 and 11 respectively. The species of plasminogen, prothrombin, and preproinsulin correspond to claims 4, 8,13, and 14; claim 10; and claim 11, respectively.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1 is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Annex B, Part I(f) of the Administrative Instructions under PCT states that, "wherein a single claim defines alternatives (chemical or non-chemical)...the requirement of a technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical features as defined in Rule 13.2, shall be considered to be met when the alternatives are of a similar nature."

The alternatives must comply with subsections (i)(A) and one of either (i)(B)(1) or (i)(B)(2), which requires that, "all alternatives have a common property or activity" and "a

Art Unit: 1651

common structure is present, i.e., a significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives" (B)(1) or "in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains."(B)(2).

In the instant case, the compounds do not share the same activity/function, as each affinity trap reactor comprises distinct elements (e.g. see tables 1 and 2) and thereby do not function the same failing to satisfy requirement (A). Additionally, the claims fails to satisfy either (B)(1) or (B)(2). The claim recites no common structure, i.e., a significant structural element is shared by <u>all</u> of the alternative structures, thus failing to meet the requirements of (B)(1).

Further, in looking to subsection (f)(iii), it is stated that 'recognized class of chemical compounds' means that, "there is an expectation from the knowledge in the art that members of the class will behave in the same way in the context of the claimed invention. In other words, each member could be substituted one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved." One of skill in the art would not recognize these divergent compounds (affinity traps) to function in the context of the instantly claimed invention. Thus, the claim fails to meet the requirement of (B)(2).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (affinity trap) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claim 1-12 and 14 are generic to at least one element of the affinity trap.

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1651

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron J. Kosar whose telephone number is (571) 270-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SANDRA E. SAUCIER

Aaron Kosar

Examiner, Art Unit 1651